***Monday, June 15, 2015, at 9:00 a.m., Commissioners met in regular session with Chairman Dan Dinning, Commissioner LeAlan Pinkerton, Commissioner Walt Kirby, Clerk Glenda Poston, and Deputy Clerk Michelle Rohrwasser.

County residents Terry Capurso, John Taft, Linda Fontanas, and Walter Burrow joined the meeting.

Blue Sky Broadcasting Reporter Mike Brown was present at the meetings on and off throughout the day.

Commissioners gave the opening invocation and said the Pledge of Allegiance.

Road and Bridge Department Superintendent Jeff Gutshall joined the meeting to give the departmental report. No written report was provided.

John Taft met with Commissioners to discuss issues concerning two intersections at the top of Paradise Valley Hill. Mr. Taft commended Commissioners and Road and Bridge when they made the identifier for Shamrock Road more clear, but this issue is the top of Paradise Valley Hill and the intersection at Shamrock Road and Blue Sky Road. Drivers coming from the south seem to fail to yield, according to Mr. Taft. There is no issue with the vehicles heading south on Shamrock Road at this intersection as well as drivers heading up the hill who are heading south on Paradise Valley Road. Mr. Taft said there are a lot of people in that area who don't think this intersection is a problem, but it really is and he doesn't know if there are any solutions. If a stop sign is put in at the top of the hill for the people heading north on Paradise Valley Road, it might at least slow them down. Chairman Dinning said there is a yield sign coming from the south and a stop sign coming from the north. Mr. Gutshall said there had been a stop sign for those coming from the south end of Paradise Valley Road, but the sign kept getting ripped out of the ground.

Mr. Gutshall presented a diagram of a proposed solution for the Paradise Valley Hill intersection. Ms. Fontanas said in her opinion, Mr. Gutshall's solution, which included a raised curb island at the top of the hill, is a good one.

Mr. Taft said the other issue pertains to cars coming from Blue Sky Road to Shamrock Road. Mr. Taft said it would be better to at least have a stop sign at Shamrock Road. Mr. Gutshall said you almost have to have three stop signs in that area.

Mr. Taft and Mr. Fontanas left the meeting.

Mr. Burrow asked when Fawn Lane might receive dust abatement. Mr. Gutshall said dust abatement won't start until after July Fourth. Mr. Burrows left the meeting.

Mr. Gutshall said Road and Bridge has been working on the old highway doing brushing and shoulder work and working on Highland Flats Hill to re-establish the ditches to improve drainage.

Commissioners and Mr. Gutshall briefly discussed job descriptions. Chairman Dinning said we are really just dealing with one job description. Mr. Gutshall said yes, except for filling the one part-time position. Road and Bridge will have a road foreman, not an assistant. Mr. Gutshall said he stuck with the superintendent, assistant superintendent and administrative assistant, but will not have a road foreman position. Mr. Gutshall said he brought up the operation side and administration side to basically take on

a lot of the administrative functions allowing the assistant superintendent to work on other things. Those present talked about how the functions at Road and Bridge are evolving and Mr. Gutshall said he found out if he kept the superintendent and administrative assistant on par, then he doesn't need the foreman position as proposed.

Mr. Gutshall said he will retire from the County the first of August this year.

Mr. Gutshall left the meeting at 9:37 a.m.

Boundary County Commissioners contacted County Civil Attorney Tevis Hull via telephone at 9:37 a.m.

Attorney Hull commented on amending verbiage in Ordinance 2015-1.

Chairman Dinning asked Attorney Hull about inserting verbiage into contracts listing the date the motion was made to approve a contract.

Attorney Hull updated Commissioners on a matter pertaining to a public records request made by Fred Gabourie.

Attorney Hull said he would contact Robert Peccia and Associates (RPA), who is the engineer for the airport improvement project, to find out where Task Order #10 is. Commissioners and Attorney Hull briefly reviewed other matters to include the Idaho Department of Lands Easement Termination and Relocation Agreement, Wildland Urban Interface Vendor Contracts, and the building lease to be received from North Idaho College.

The call to Attorney Hull ended.

Deputy Clerk Nancy Ryals joined the meeting at 9:50 a.m.

Commissioner Pinkerton moved to adopt Resolution 2015-10. A resolution to increase junior college tuition budget due to receipt of unanticipated funds from the State of Idaho. Commissioner Kirby second. Motion passed unanimously. Resolution 2015-10 reads as follows:

RESOLUTION 2015-10

INCREASE JUNIOR COLLEGE TUITION BUDGET DUE TO RECEIPT OF UNANTICIPATED FUNDS FROM THE STATE OF IDAHO

WHEREAS, the Board of County Commissioners, County of Boundary, State of Idaho, did establish an operating budget for the Junior College Tuition Fund for fiscal year 2014-2015; and

WHEREAS, the State of Idaho, Department of Education has reimbursed Boundary County \$10,920.00 for the Fast Forward Project; and

WHEREAS, the Junior College Tuition Budget for fiscal year 2014-2015 is in need of this additional revenue; and

WHEREAS, the addition of this revenue does not affect the tax levy for Boundary County; and

WHEREAS, it is appropriate to increase the Junior College Tuition Fund Revenue Account Number 17-00-391-000 and Expense Account Number 17-00-569-00, Education – Other, in the amount of \$10,920.00.

NOW THEREFORE, upon motion duly made, seconded and unanimously carried,

IT IS RESOLVED that the increase to the Junior College Tuition Fund Revenue Account Number 17-00-391-000 and Expense Account Number 17-00-569-00, Education – Other, in the amount of \$10,920.00 is hereby authorized and ordered; and

IT IS FURTHER RESOLVED that the Clerk is instructed to deliver certified copies of this resolution to the Boundary County Treasurer and the Boundary County Auditor.

DATED this 8th day of June, 2015

COUNTY OF BOUNDARY
BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS
s/_____
Dan R. Dinning, Chairman
s/____
LeAlan L. Pinkerton, Commissioner
s/____
Walt Kirby, Commissioner

ATTEST:

s/

GLENDA POSTON, Clerk of the Board of County Commissioners Recorded as instrument #264089

Commissioner Pinkerton moved to approve the Certificate of Residency forms for S. Hull, K. Gray, J. Merz, and A. LeVesque. Commissioner Kirby second. Motion passed unanimously.

Commissioner Pinkerton moved to go into closed session under Idaho Code 31-874. Commissioner Kirby second. Motion passed unanimously. 9:50 a.m., Commissioner Pinkerton moved to go out of closed session. Commissioner Kirby second. Motion passed unanimously.

Commissioner Kirby moved to approve the minutes of June 1 and 2, 2015. Commissioner Pinkerton second. Motion passed unanimously.

Commissioner Pinkerton moved to approve indigent application 2015-23. Commissioner Kirby second. Motion passed unanimously.

Commissioner Pinkerton moved to deny indigent application 2015-20. Commissioner Kirby second. Motion passed unanimously.

Commissioners addressed a request for tax extension.

Deputy Clerk Ryals left the meeting at 9:54 a.m.

9:55 a.m., Boundary Volunteer Ambulance Chief Ken Baker and Boundary Volunteer Ambulance Board Chairman Jim Paulus joined the meeting.

Commissioner Pinkerton moved to adopt Resolution 2015-11. A resolution transferring remaining funds in the airport grant budget to the airport budget. Commissioner Kirby second. Motion passed unanimously. Resolution 2015-11 reads as follows:

RESOLUTION 2015-11

TRANSFER REMAINING FUNDS IN THE AIRPORT GRANT BUDGET TO THE AIRPORT BUDGET

WHEREAS, the Board of County Commissioners, County of Boundary, State of Idaho, did establish operating budgets for the fiscal year 2014-2015 Airport Budget and Airport Grant Budget, and

WHEREAS, Airport Improvement Project Number 3-16-0004-012-2013 has been completed and there remains a cash balance of \$20,676.00 in the Airport Grant Budget, Fund Number 62, and

WHEREAS, Boundary County expended funds out of the Airport Budget, Fund Number 03, to cover the State of Idaho's share of the grant match because the State was not timely in providing reimbursement to the County, and

WHEREAS, since there are no more expenses to be paid out of the Airport Grant Budget, Fund Number 62, under Airport Improvement Project Number 3-16-0004-012-2013 and there is a cash balance of \$20,676.00 remaining due to the State of Idaho reimbursing Boundary County for the State's share of the grant match and it is appropriate to transfer those remaining funds to the Airport Budget, Fund Number 03, and

WHEREAS, the addition of this revenue does not affect the tax levy for Boundary County, and

NOW THEREFORE, upon motion duly made, seconded and unanimously carried,

IT IS RESOLVED that the transfer of \$20,676.00 from the Airport Grant Budget, Fund Number 62, to the Airport Budget, Fund Number 03, thereby increasing Airport Revenue Budget, Account Number 03-00-391-00 is hereby authorized and ordered, and

IT IS FURTHER RESOLVED that the Clerk is instructed to deliver certified copies of this resolution to the Boundary County Treasurer and the Boundary County Auditor.

DATED this 15th day of June, 2015

	COUNTY OF BOUNDARY BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS
	s/
	Dan R. Dinning, Chairman
	s/
	LeAlan L. Pinkerton, Commissioner
	s/
	Walt Kirby, Commissioner
ATTEST:	•
s/	
Glenda Poston, Clerk of the E	Board of County Commissioners
Recorded as instrument #26409	90

10:01 a.m., Commissioner Kirby moved to recess as the Board of Boundary County Commissioners and convene as the Boundary County Ambulance Service District Governing Board. Commissioner Pinkerton second. Motion passed unanimously.

Commissioners reviewed the minutes from the prior meeting held in May.

Commissioner Kirby asked about the paramedics on Boundary Volunteer Ambulance (BVA). Mr. Baker explained the shifts the paramedics are working.

Commissioner Pinkerton moved to approve the minutes from May 18, 2015. Commissioner Kirby second. Motion passed unanimously.

Mr. Baker explained that BVA's paramedics work a 48 hour schedule with 24/7 coverage. There are three full time paramedics and a couple more who can fill in. Commissioner Kirby asked about the process of running on calls and if there is a jurisdiction that BVA cannot respond to. Mr. Baker said it depends on BVA's medical control as to who decides which direction to go. There is a physician at the local hospital who is BVA's medical director, according to Mr. Baker. When there is a Bonner/Boundary accident, both counties are usually dispatched, according to Mr. Baker. Mr. Paulus said BVA has to run everything through the emergency department at the hospital.

Chairman Dinning commented Mr. Baker had just mentioned the five northern counties have contracted for services, but BVA is not a part of Boundary County. Mr. Baker said BVA's involvement is through the agreement. Chairman Dinning said BVA is a private contractor and asked if BVA is a party to this agreement and can they legally be a party to the agreement? Chairman Dinning said that is what he would like to know as he hasn't seen an agreement the five northern counties have signed.

Chairman Dinning asked if an emergency occurred in Sandpoint and out of county agencies were requested to assist, does BVA have a legal agreement or document that allows that involvement. Mr. Baker said not that he has, but he is working on one. Mr. Baker said Bonner County has asked for this agreement. Commissioner Pinkerton said in looking at BVA's contract with Boundary County, he doesn't know if BVA has the legal ability to respond to that situation, because he believes the contract is exclusive to Boundary County. Commissioner Pinkerton said he believes as the contract is written that BVA is prohibited from responding to a mass casualty in another county. Commissioner Pinkerton said If Bonner County has an agreement with Kootenai County, they are bound by that county contract, but BVA's contract is exclusively with Boundary County so that ability to respond outside of this county, he believes, would be limited. Mr. Paulus said absolutely because we don't want to have all paramedics in another county and have a need for them arise in Boundary County. Commissioner Pinkerton said the big issue is BVA's liability as they are exclusively contracted with Boundary County and that liability would end. Mr. Baker said he would look into that. Chairman Dinning said he was told that BVA was trying to negotiate a lease with the Co-Op and that deal sounded good. The Co-Op had been receiving \$750 per month in rent and BVA would only be paying \$350 per month. Mr. Baker said fire code would need to determine occupancy at that property. It was said the City of Bonners Ferry Fire Department would have to give permission for EMS staff to stay in the City Fire Hall.

10:16 a.m., Commissioner Pinkerton moved to adjourn as the Boundary County Ambulance Service District Governing Board and to reconvene as the Board of Boundary County Commissioners. Commissioner Kirby second. Motion passed unanimously.

10:18 a.m., Planning and Zoning Administrator John Moss joined the meeting.

Commissioner Pinkerton moved to sign the letter to the State of Idaho Department of Environmental Quality regarding use of solid waste funds to purchase weight scales for the landfill. Commissioner Kirby second. Motion passed unanimously.

10:20 a.m., Clerk Poston mentioned there wasn't a need to meet Tuesday to discuss the upcoming budget as she is still working on it. Clerk Poston said nothing is official, but she has figured in a salary and starting wage increase, which if it took place, wouldn't be until September 30th. On October 1st all of the wages would increase by three percent. Clerk Poston explained that not everyone will receive the 45 cents increase. There had been a few years when cost of living increases had not been given and the county is now starting to suffer for that as no one wants to work for the existing wages.

Clerk Poston said she is finding revenue so the county should not need to levy the full levy amount and funds go into the foregone category, but this is still very preliminary. The budget requests for the departments have been met with the exception of Boundary Community Hospital so the hope is to go back to what has been levied for the hospital in the past and increase that amount by three percent or more. Chairman Dinning said if he remembers, unless things changed, the Restorium's levy comes out of the hospital's levy. Clerk Poston said if she recalls correctly, \$340,000 is what is levied this year for the Restorium. The Restorium reserve budget will be reduced, but they still have a reserve. Last year \$370,000 was levied for the Restorium. Clerk Poston said the county departments have not asked for anything out of the norm.

Clerk Poston said one thing the county doesn't have the ability to do is to video conference out of the courtroom as a new Polycom camera is needed, which would cost approximately \$15,000. If the county expended those funds to purchase this system, the cost could be recouped over a few years.

10:27 a.m., Road and Bridge Superintendent Jeff Gutshall returned to the meeting briefly to discuss Road and Bridge job positions.

Mr. Gutshall said the savings in restructuring is due to eliminating the GIS position. The county is saving a lot of money by doing that, which is the Road and Bridge budget. The 911 funds can continue to pay the administrative assistant needs. Clerk Poston said the county cannot fund all of this through E911 funds. Part of the current county mapper's wage and the Road and Bridge Office Manager's wage will be funded through E911 funds, though. Mr. Gutshall said that is still a great reduction and that should be carried over to recognize what has been done with the positions. Mr. Gutshall said he was asked to rewrite the job descriptions for the assistant superintendent and administrative assistant positions.

Mr. Gutshall left the meeting at 10:35 a.m.

Commissioners tended to administrative duties.

10:50 a.m., Commissioners continued their public hearing to consider Planning and Zoning Application 2015-020, amending Ordinance 2012-1. Present were: Chairman Dan Dinning, Commissioner LeAlan Pinkerton, Commissioner Walt Kirby, Clerk Glenda Poston, Deputy Clerk Michelle Rohrwasser, Planning and Zoning Administrator John Moss, Blue Sky Broadcasting Reporter Mike Brown, and Terry Capurso. The hearing was recorded.

Commissioners and Mr. Moss reviewed Section 8 of the information before them. Chairman Dinning asked if Section 8.3., Exemptions, conflicts with what has been defined such as if there is a special event that takes place inside a building, does that person need a permit. Chairman Dinning mentioned having The Great Northwest Event Center in the county, which is zoned for that type of event. Mr. Moss said special events won't be required for any activity, except for parking. Mr. Moss said if we cannot capture

this in essence, then we would need to reword it. Mr. Moss said it is the majority of the event taking place inside the building with some of the activity overflowing outside. Mr. Moss said we're saying commercial activities are a special event, but that a permit is not required for activities that take place within an existing structure. Mr. Moss said we narrowed a special event to be commercial in nature and more than a one-time event.

Clerk Poston asked about the venue located behind the Sweet property, which is an outside venue. Chairman Dinning said that is generally for weddings so there is no charge for that. The exemptions are reunions, weddings, and funerals. Commissioner Pinkerton asked about concerts in which admittance is charged. Mr. Capurso asked about free concerts. Chairman Dinning informed Mr. Capurso that by process Commissioners have closed the hearing to public comments and at this point it is discussion amongst Commissioners.

Chairman Dinning mentioned the aspect of charging for admission at an event so are Commissioners then nullifying what Planning and Zoning had set. Commissioner Kirby said when buying a ticket it is done by a different process, but he's talking about a venture that has already been permitted. Mr. Moss said he doesn't think there is an issue because he heard that existing venue is already permitted. Section 8 deals with someone who wants to have a commercial event in the future and this is the criteria that initiates the need for a permit at a cost of \$90. There is a notification procedure to protect the public gathering to include emergency medical staff, Sheriff's Office, Boundary Community Hospital, fire departments, and County Commissioners so there is no surprise when someone applies for a permit. Chairman Dinning said for thought, would there be language where we could add a statement that would say, "exempt all uses permitted by..." such as if this goes into effect and he wants to apply for an event at The Great Northwest Territories. The process would go through Planning and Zoning and by doing this, what verbiage would be added to exempt this event. Mr. Moss said the exemption would be that any existing enterprises are already permitted. Chairman Dinning said not already permitted, but that has been permitted.

Commissioners stated that Section 8.9., had been changed to Section 8.8., during the prior hearing. Mr. Moss said it gets confusing until you get to Section 8.13., because the section numbers have changed. Chairman Dinning asked if Mr. Moss has the ability to just approve the applications and that Commissioners would only see the application if there was an appeal or if Mr. Moss wanted to defer the decision to Commissioners. Chairman Dinning said he doesn't think Commissioners should see information on the application as it is something that could come before them. Mr. Moss said he could remove Commissioners from his distribution list.

Mr. Moss said Section 8.13.1., says if the application is approved, the Planning and Zoning Administrator is to issue the special event permit to include the terms and conditions established and to include Commissioners in the distribution. Chairman Dinning said yes, but that is once the application is approved. It was said there is a provision for a person to appeal and that has a timeline of 30 days.

Section 8.13.4., states if the decision is deferred to the Board of County Commissioners, the administrator will schedule a public hearing at that point, and Section 19 explains the hearing process, according to Chairman Dinning.

Section 9.6.2., is just correcting typos in Section 9. Mr. Moss said its typos all the way to section 20, which is a new section. Mr. Moss said the typos were caused by cloning sections so it misaligned the section numbers.

Commissioner Pinkerton referred to a section pertaining to transferred development rights and cluster developments. Chairman Dinning asked Mr. Moss to review this chapter. Commissioner Pinkerton said Section 11.3.3., consists of just correcting typos, and Sections 11.3.4., and 11.3.8., are the same definition changes.

Simple subdivisions have been moved to Section 20. Mr. Moss said the term "simple subdivision" is a misnomer so Section 20 is titled, "Parcel Divisions."

Section 11.4 takes out simple subdivision. Mr. Moss said Sections 11.6.2.4., are just typos referring to the wrong section. Chairman Dinning said everything consists of typos until you get to Section 15.7.2. Section 15 pertains to zone district specifications. Chairman Dinning asked why the density for Prime Forestry is increasing to 160. Mr. Moss said it was originally 160, but there was a typo. What Commissioners are looking at is the failure to add correctly what the prior Planning and Zoning Administrator, Mike Weland had done. The acreage of 160 was a carry-over from what Mr. Weland had in his updates.

Section 15.8.6., refers to prohibited uses. Mr. Moss said what has been added is Confined Animal Feeding Operation (CAFO) and anything exceeding Idaho Code. This change will effect several sections of prohibited use zones and pertains to if someone has an excess of so many cows, chicks, etc. CAFO is defined by the state so one would have to have confinement. Mr. Moss said this section is saying Boundary County has CAFO in our ordinance and it cannot exceed state requirements. If that seems excessive, we can readdress that, but that should be all for Section 15, according to Mr. Moss. Everything else pertains to typos until Section 20, which is a new chapter. Section 20 is the former Section 11 that discusses subdivisions and simple subdivisions. To the extent we have subdivisions, we have to refer to Section 11, but if it is a parcel division, it is located in Section 20. Chairman Dinning asked for the differences. Mr. Moss said generally speaking a subdivision is going to split property into more than three parcels and that requires a public hearing. A parcel division consists of three or less parcels created. There is parcel line adjustment, a simple parcel division, and primitive parcel division. The difference between the last two is that a simple subdivision has access to a county road or highway and a primitive subdivision does not. If someone has two or three parcels created in an area off a county road, it is a primitive subdivision. Platting is not required for a primitive parcel division, according to Mr. Moss. It's a plat creating two new parcels and it's just a simple split, but a records of survey still needs to be completed. A simple parcel division has approved access to a county road or highway.

Chairman Dinning asked if he owned 100 acres, can he legally create five 20 acre parcels without even having to survey them. Mr. Moss said yes. Chairman Dinning said each parcel would have its own parcel number and he can take each one of those twenty acres pieces and split them into three parcels by using the simple subdivision process. Mr. Moss said only the property owner would know if those properties have access. Mr. Moss said Chairman Dinning would have already split the 100 acre parcel and if he wants to split the parcels again, if there is an approved access, then it is a simple parcel division. Chairman Dinning said if it's called a primitive subdivision and it's not on a county road, but it has an access easement to each parcel, he can use the primitive subdivision process to create three parcels out of the 20 acre piece. Mr. Moss said yes, provided the parcel is in the zone that allows that.

Commissioners brought up the matter of administrative fees for land use applications. Fees were not presented to the Planning and Zoning Commission nor the Commissioners when this information was scheduled for hearing, according to Mr. Moss. Chairman Dinning said Commissioners probably cannot

discuss fees then. Mr. Moss said that is good because he has concerns about it and it is not a good time to discuss it.

Chairman Dinning said Mr. Moss has the ability to show the changes made and he asked if Mr. Moss could put those changes together prior to Commissioners approving anything and to have County Civil Attorney Tevis Hull review the language and changes as well.

Those present did not discuss Section 12 as it was not scheduled on the agenda.

Commissioner Pinkerton moved to continue the public hearing for Planning and Zoning Application #15-020 to Monday, June 29, 2015 at 10:00 a.m. to allow the county attorney time to review the changes and information on fees. Commissioner Kirby second. Motion passed unanimously.

The hearing ended at 11:35 a.m.

Mr. Moss said he would check with Attorney Hull on the process for fees.

Mr. Capurso asked if the June 8th public hearing was open to the public. Chairman Dinning said no one from the public came to the hearing so Commissioners closed the hearing to public testimony. Mr. Capurso said there is a lot of information about typos so was all of this information available up front for the public to view? Mr. Capurso questioned going back to look at this information and he apologized for not being at the prior hearing as he would have loved to see the changes. Chairman Dinning said the initial public hearing was opened on June 1st and continued to June 8th. Chairman Dinning explained what the public notice for the hearing discussed. Commissioners pointed out the notice of public hearing, etc.

Commissioners recessed for lunch at 11:46 a.m.

1:30 p.m., Commissioners met for the afternoon session with Chairman Dan Dinning, Commissioner LeAlan Pinkerton, Commissioner Walt Kirby, Clerk Glenda Poston, and Deputy Clerk Michelle Rohrwasser.

1:30 p.m., Michael Listman with Tech Partner joined the meeting to continue the discussion on updating and maintaining the county's website and to present his quote for these services.

Mr. Listman spoke of website options through social media such as Facebook, Twitter, and Pinterest sites that could be used for county information. Commissioners spoke of pros and cons associated with Facebook. Clerk Poston questioned the possible benefits of using these sites for jury duty information. Commissioners reviewed Mr. Listman's quote for services, which includes \$3,000 to update the website and maintenance is listed in 15 minutes increments. Those present discussed how billing would work. Mr. Listman explained how he logs the work done at the local hospital, which is by department. Other than the two fees, there is not an ongoing monthly fee, according to Mr. Listman.

Commissioners asked Mr. Listman to put together a contract for them to sign.

The meeting with Mr. Listman ended at 2:00 p.m.

Commissioners tended to administrative duties.

Commissioner Pinkerton moved to leave the budget for the City/County Dispatch Agreement as the Sheriff's Office has presented it to the Clerk and the difference of the 3 percent increase will be budgeted as Commissioners see fit. Commissioner Kirby second. Motion passed unanimously.

2:08 p.m., Waterways Board member Mike Naumann joined the meeting to discuss information pertaining to new dock and piling. Mr. Naumann said the pilings had to be replaced so he received separate quotes for that task. Mr. Naumann said he has four quotes for the dock equipment, but Commissioners only have three of them. Chairman Dinning said his fear was redoing the bid process to stay under a certain cost. Commissioner Pinkerton said for clarification that two separate quotes went out for pilings and docks. Mr. Naumann said the first time he presented information to Commissioners they consisted of emails for different types of docks. These latest quotes are for exactly the same thing.

Commissioners asked Mr. Naumann to get a copy of the correspondence the vendors received. Commissioners will also need liability and workers compensation insurance from all bidders.

Commissioners contacted County Civil Attorney Tevis Hull via telephone at 2:14 p.m.

Commissioners said Mr. Naumann had requested quotes for docks as well as the installation of the docks, and he received four responses. The quotes came in at approximately \$47,000. In the meantime Mr. Naumann had contacted people involved with pilings about installing a new one. This is a separate company and is also separate from the bid for dock replacement. Commissioners asked if this is close enough to be considered splitting the project. Attorney Hull said he feels that it is because pilings are a part of the dock structure so that is splitting the project. The county needs to go through the formal bidding process. Attorney Hull said that was correct.

Attorney Hull requested a brief executive session under Idaho Code 67-2345(1)f, potential litigation.

Mr. Naumann and Mr. Capurso left the meeting temporarily at 2:17 p.m.

Commissioner Pinkerton moved to go into executive session under Idaho Code 67-2345(1)f, to communicate with legal counsel for the public agency to discuss the legal ramifications of and legal options for pending litigation, or controversies not yet being litigated but imminently likely to be litigated regarding E.C. Enterprises. Commissioner Kirby second. Commissioners voted as follows: Chairman Dinning "aye", Commissioner Pinkerton "aye", and Commissioner Kirby "aye". Motion passed unanimously. 2:30 p.m., Commissioner Kirby moved to go out of executive session. Commissioner Pinkerton second. Motion passed unanimously. No action was taken.

Mike Naumann returned to the meeting. Commissioners informed Mr. Naumann that he needs to go through the formal bid process. Commissioners will provide Mr. Naumann with the proper bid documents to complete.

Mr. Naumann left the meeting at 2:31 p.m.

Commissioner Pinkerton moved to authorize the Chairman to sign the letter to the City of Bonners Ferry regarding the Dispatch Agreement. Commissioner Kirby second. Motion passed unanimously.

There being no further business, the meeting adjourned at 2:47 p.m.

ATTEST:	DAN R. DINNING, Chairman	
GLENDA POSTON, Clerk		
By: Michelle Rohrwasser, Deputy Clerk		